

### Interview Summary

Application No.

10/762,931

Applicant(s)

HEINER ET AL.

Examiner

PAUL S. HYUN

Art Unit

1797

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PAUL S. HYUN(3) Jerry Hefner(2) Jill Warden(4) John MurphyDate of Interview: 06 May 2008Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representativeExhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1Identification of prior art discussed: Balch US 6,083,763Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was directed towards whether the Balch reference disclosed the claim limitation "geometrically registering said saved fresh image". No agreement was reached with regards to whether the reference actually teaches the claim limitation as presently recited

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required